

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 10. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/649,255 | | 08/27/2003 | Mark A. Dombroski | PC23304A | 5909 |
| 23913 | 7590 | 05/03/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| PFIZER 150 EAST | INC I 42ND S' | TREET | | HUANG, EV | ELYN MEI |
| 5TH FLO | OR - STO | OP 49 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612 | | | | 1625 | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 05/03/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/649,255 | DOMBROSKI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Evelyn Huang | 1625 | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED on 4-14-2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): see attachment to advisory action. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-11</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,255 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Attachment to Advisory Action

1. The rejection for Claims 1, 3-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over McClure (6696464) would be withdrawn. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). The Statement of Common Ownership as submitted in the response would overcome the rejection. MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

- 2. The provisional rejection for Claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 12 of copending Application No. 10/649236 would be maintained for reasons of record. Applicants did not respond to this rejection.
- 3. The rejection for Claims 1, 3-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, 15, 49, 50 of U.S. Patent No. 6696464 would be maintained for reasons of record. Applicants state that a TD would be submitted in due course.
- 4. The provisional rejection for Claims 1, 3-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 7-11 of copending Application No. 10/649236 would be maintained for reasons of record. Applicants state that a TD would be submitted in due course.
- 5. The provisional rejection for Claims 1, 3-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/649227 in view of McClure (6696464) would be maintained for reasons of record. Applicants state that a TD would be submitted in due course.
- 6. The provisional rejection for Claims 1, 3-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of copending

Application/Control Number: 10/649,255 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

Application No. 10/649265 in view of McClure (6696464) would be maintained for reasons of record. Applicants state that a TD would be submitted in due course.

- 7. The provisional rejection for Claims 1, 3-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of copending Application No. 10/649216 in view of McClure (6696464) would be maintained for reasons of record. Applicants state that a TD would be submitted in due course.
- 8. There is a typo in claim 11. In the last compound, '-[4,3-a]pyridinel' should be '-[4,3-a]pyridine'.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evelyn Huang whose telephone number is 571-272-0686. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Evelyn Huang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625